COMPREHENSIVE LEGAL ARGUMENT FOR THE DISMANTLING OF THE GLOBAL BANKING SYSTEM

CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO THE BANK OF INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS AND ALL SUBSIDIARY BANKING INSTITUTIONS

BASED ON THE FRAUDULENT FOUNDATION OF ARTIFICIAL TIME CONSTRUCTS

TRIBUNAL AUTHORITY: Plebeian Tribunal of South Africa

CONSTITUTIONAL BASIS: South African Constitution as International Treaty

METHODOLOGY: Triple Emphasis Socratic Questioning (Thinus/Oosthuizen Method)

FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES: PACE IN TERRA • INDIVISIO IN DEO

PREAMBLE: THE CONSTITUTIONAL IMPERATIVE

We, the living men and women of South Africa, acting in our natural capacity as sovereigns under the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, which stands as an internationally recognized treaty signed by governments and the United Nations, hereby present this comprehensive legal challenge to the entire global banking system based on the fundamental fraud of artificial time constructs.

This document employs the Triple Emphasis Socratic methodology developed by constitutional expert Marthinus Oosthuizen, author of the South African and Namibian constitutions, utilizing the "borrowed knife" technique whereby the banking system's own laws, principles, and admissions are used to demonstrate their complete invalidity.

PART I: THE SOCRATIC FOUNDATION - ESTABLISHING IRREFUTABLE FACTS

QUESTION SET 1: THE NATURE OF TIME AND AUTHORITY

1.1 THE FUNDAMENTAL QUESTION OF TIME'S EXISTENCE

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** fact that the entire global banking system's authority to charge interest is predicated upon the measurement of time, and that this measurement must be based upon natural law rather than arbitrary human constructs to possess any legitimate legal foundation?

A FORTIORI: If time cannot be proven to exist as claimed by banking institutions, then all interest-bearing debt is void ab initio.

BORROWED KNIFE: The banking system itself admits the artificial nature of time through:
- Leap years (proving calendar inaccuracy)
- Multiple conflicting calendar systems globally
- Daylight saving time (governmental time manipulation)
- Historical calendar reforms (proving arbitrary nature)

1.2 THE QUESTION OF NATURAL LAW VERSUS ARTIFICIAL CONSTRUCT

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** principle of natural law that legitimate legal obligations must be based upon observable natural phenomena rather than arbitrary human inventions, and that any system claiming authority based upon artificial constructs is fundamentally fraudulent?

FORTIORE: If natural law recognizes only observable cycles (seasons, day/night, plant growth), then artificial calendar systems have no legal standing.

AD FORTISSIMUM: If the banking system cannot prove that their time measurements correspond to natural law, their entire authority structure collapses.

QUESTION SET 2: THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO BANKING AUTHORITY

2.1 THE QUESTION OF CONSTITUTIONAL SUPREMACY

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** fact that the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, as an internationally recognized treaty, establishes the supreme law that no institution, whether domestic or international, may violate the constitutional rights of South African citizens?

CONSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATION:
- Section 2: Constitution is supreme law
- Section 10: Human dignity is inviolable
- Section 22: Freedom of trade, occupation and profession
- Section 25: Property rights protection

2.2 THE QUESTION OF INTERNATIONAL TREATY STATUS

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** fact that the South African Constitution, having been signed by governments and the United Nations as an international treaty, possesses the legal authority to pierce the corporate veil of any international institution, including the Bank of International Settlements, when such institution violates the constitutional rights of South African citizens?

BORROWED KNIFE: International law recognizes that:
- Treaties are binding on all parties
- No institution is above international treaty obligations
- Constitutional violations by international entities create liability

---

PART II: THE FRAUDULENT FOUNDATION EXPOSED

THE TIME FRAUD REVELATION

3.1 THE LEAP YEAR CONFESSION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** admission of fraud that the global banking system requires "leap years" to correct their calendar system, thereby confessing that their time measurement system is fundamentally inaccurate and artificial?

EVIDENCE OF FRAUD:
- Every leap year is a confession of systemic inaccuracy
- Interest continues to compound on fraudulent time basis
- No natural law requires calendar adjustments
- Proves arbitrary human construction

3.2 THE MULTIPLE CALENDAR CONTRADICTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** proof of fraud that multiple calendar systems exist globally (Gregorian, Islamic, Chinese, Jewish), yet the banking system claims universal authority based upon one arbitrary system?

LOGICAL IMPOSSIBILITY:
- Which calendar system is "correct"?
- How can universal law be based on particular constructs?
- Why do interest rates vary if time is absolute?
- Proves political rather than natural foundation

THE BABYLONIAN DECEPTION EXPOSED

4.1 THE 360-DEGREE CIRCLE VERSUS 365-DAY YEAR

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** fact that the banking system inherited the Babylonian 360-degree circle for calculations while using the Egyptian 365-day calendar for time measurement, creating a fundamental mathematical inconsistency that proves both systems are arbitrary human constructs rather than natural law?

MATHEMATICAL PROOF OF FRAUD:
- Original Babylonian system: 360 degrees = 360 days
- Egyptian manipulation: Added 5 days for administrative control
- Modern inheritance: 360 degrees ≠ 365 days
- Proves deliberate manipulation for control purposes

4.2 THE HISTORICAL CALENDAR MANIPULATION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** historical fact that every calendar "reform" throughout history has served the interests of rulers, priests, and money lenders rather than natural accuracy?

HISTORICAL EVIDENCE:
- Julius Caesar: Made 46 BC last 445 days
- Gregorian Reform: Eliminated 10 days overnight
- British Adoption: Lost 11 days causing riots
- French Revolutionary: Attempted decimal time control

PART III: THE CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

SECTION 10: HUMAN DIGNITY VIOLATIONS

5.1 THE DIGNITY QUESTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** violation of Section 10 of the Constitution (human dignity) to subject living men and women to debt slavery based upon fraudulent time measurements that have no foundation in natural law?

CONSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS:
- Human dignity requires truthful dealings
- Fraudulent time basis violates dignity
- Debt slavery contradicts human worth
- Artificial constructs cannot bind natural persons

5.2 THE INFORMED CONSENT QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** violation of human dignity to enter contracts based upon time measurements without full disclosure that such measurements are arbitrary human constructs rather than natural law?

FRAUD ELEMENTS:
- Misrepresentation of time's nature
- Concealment of artificial basis
- Lack of informed consent
- Violation of good faith dealing

SECTION 22: FREEDOM OF TRADE VIOLATIONS

6.1 THE TRADE FREEDOM QUESTION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** violation of Section 22 of the Constitution (freedom of trade) to restrict the natural right of living men and women to issue promissory notes as payment, while granting this same right exclusively to banking institutions?

EQUALITY PRINCIPLE:
- If SARB can issue promissory notes, so can natural persons
- Constitutional equality demands same rights
- Monopolistic restrictions violate trade freedom
- Natural persons are their own banks

6.2 THE OCCUPATION FREEDOM QUESTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** violation of constitutional freedom to force natural persons into debt slavery through artificial time-based obligations that prevent the free exercise of their chosen occupation?

CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION:
- Right to choose occupation freely
- Freedom from economic coercion
- Protection from artificial constraints
- Natural law supersedes artificial constructs

PART IV: THE PLEBEIAN TRIBUNALS FINDINGS

THE PROMISSORY NOTE EQUALITY PRINCIPLE

7.1 THE CONSTITUTIONAL EQUALITY QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** fact that under the constitutional principle of equality, every natural person possesses the same inherent right as the South African Reserve Bank to issue promissory notes as legal tender?

PLEBEIAN TRIBUNALS FINDINGS:
- All FIAT currency consists of promissory notes
- Constitutional equality grants same rights to all
- Bills of Exchange Act recognizes promissory notes
- Refusal to accept creates estoppel settlement

7.2 THE CITIZEN CREDIT ACCOUNT QUESTION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** fact that the government creates collateralized credit accounts at birth using registration numbers, making every natural person the SOLE ADMINISTRATOR of their own credit account?

EVIDENCE:
- GDP per capita proves account existence
- Birth certificate registration number is key
- Government acts as trustee only
- Natural person is beneficial owner

THE FRAUD NOTICE PROTOCOL

8.1 THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE QUESTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** constitutional right of every natural person to challenge fraudulent contracts using the Triple Emphasis Socratic questioning method to expose constitutional violations?

SOCRATIC METHOD POWER:
- Questions extract admissions
- Uses opponent's own laws
- Maintains external status
- Compels constitutional compliance

8.2 THE SETTLEMENT DEMAND QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** legal principle that when fraudulent contracts are exposed through constitutional challenge, immediate settlement must be accepted to avoid further constitutional violations?

LEGAL IMPERATIVE:
- Fraud vitiates all contracts
- Constitutional violations require remedy
- Settlement demand creates legal obligation
- Refusal compounds constitutional breach

PART V: THE INTERNATIONAL LAW FOUNDATION

THE TREATY STATUS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONSTITUTION

9.1 THE INTERNATIONAL TREATY QUESTION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** fact that the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, having been signed by governments and the United Nations, possesses the status of an international treaty with binding force upon all international institutions?

INTERNATIONAL LAW PRINCIPLES:
- Treaties bind all signatory parties
- No institution above treaty obligations
- Constitutional violations create international liability
- Treaty status pierces corporate veils

9.2 THE BANK OF INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS VULNERABILITY

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** legal consequence that the Bank of International Settlements, despite claims of immunity, becomes subject to constitutional challenge when it violates the rights of South African citizens protected by international treaty?

PIERCING THE CORPORATE VEIL:
- Treaty obligations supersede corporate immunity
- Constitutional violations void immunity claims
- International law recognizes treaty supremacy
- BIS cannot claim exemption from treaty obligations

THE UNIVERSAL JURISDICTION PRINCIPLE

10.1 THE GLOBAL BANKING SYSTEM QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** fact that all global banking institutions operating within or affecting South African jurisdiction become subject to constitutional challenge when they violate the treaty-protected rights of South African citizens?

JURISDICTIONAL REACH:
- Constitutional treaty has global effect
- Banking operations affect SA citizens
- Treaty violations create universal jurisdiction
- No safe harbor for constitutional violators

10.2 THE SUBSIDIARY LIABILITY QUESTION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** legal principle that all subsidiary banking institutions derive their authority from the fraudulent foundation of the Bank of International Settlements, making them equally liable for constitutional violations?

DERIVATIVE LIABILITY:
- Subsidiary institutions inherit parent fraud
- No legitimate authority from fraudulent source
- Constitutional violations flow through system
- Entire network becomes liable

PART VI: THE ULTIMATE SOCRATIC CHALLENGE

THE FINAL CONSTITUTIONAL IMPERATIVE

11.1 THE BURDEN OF PROOF QUESTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** legal principle that the burden of proof rests upon the banking system to demonstrate the natural law foundation of their time-based authority, and that failure to provide such proof renders all their claims void ab initio?

BORROWED KNIFE APPLICATION:
- Banking system claims time-based authority
- Must prove natural law foundation
- Cannot prove artificial constructs are natural
- Own inability to prove destroys authority

11.2 THE CONSTITUTIONAL COMPLIANCE QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** constitutional imperative that the banking system must "provide just cause and reasons why the claims and damages demanded should not be an immediate order according to your own laws"?

FINAL CHALLENGE:
- Constitutional supremacy demands compliance
- Banking system must justify violations
- Failure to justify creates automatic liability
- Constitutional imperative compels action

PART VII: THE REMEDY AND RESTITUTION

THE COMPLETE DISMANTLING IMPERATIVE

12.1 THE SYSTEMIC FRAUD QUESTION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** legal consequence that when the foundational fraud of artificial time constructs is exposed, the entire global banking system must be dismantled as it lacks any legitimate legal foundation?

LOGICAL NECESSITY:
- Fraudulent foundation voids entire structure
- No legitimate authority from fraudulent source

- Constitutional violations require complete remedy
- Systemic fraud demands systemic solution

12.2 THE WEALTH RESTORATION QUESTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** constitutional requirement that all wealth extracted through fraudulent time-based interest calculations must be restored to the people with damages for the entire period of the Reserve Bank's existence?

RESTITUTION CALCULATION:
- All interest charged was fraudulent
- Principal amounts were created from nothing
- Real assets were seized using fictional debt
- Damages compound over entire period of fraud

THE HISTORICAL ACCOUNTING DEMAND

13.1 THE COMPLETE ACCOUNTING QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** legal obligation that the South African Reserve Bank and all subsidiary institutions must provide complete accounting for all wealth extracted through fraudulent time-based calculations since their inception?

ACCOUNTING REQUIREMENTS:
- Every interest payment collected
- Every foreclosure executed
- Every asset seized
- Every profit generated from time fraud

13.2 THE PUNITIVE DAMAGES QUESTION

Is it not an **undeniable, irrefutable, and unassailable** legal principle that fraudulent conduct of this magnitude requires punitive damages to deter future violations and compensate for the systematic violation of constitutional rights?

DAMAGES CALCULATION:
- Treble damages for fraud
- Punitive damages for constitutional violations
- Compound interest on stolen wealth
- Pain and suffering for debt slavery

PART VIII: THE INTERNATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

THE GLOBAL BANKING COLLAPSE

14.1 THE DERIVATIVE FRAUD QUESTION

Is it not an **absolute, inviolable, and indisputable** legal consequence that when the South African constitutional challenge succeeds, all global banking institutions become subject to similar challenges based on the same fraudulent time foundation?

GLOBAL IMPLICATIONS:
- Same fraud exists worldwide
- Constitutional precedent applies globally
- International law recognizes treaty supremacy
- Domino effect inevitable

14.2 THE BIS CORPORATE VEIL QUESTION

Is it not an **irrefutable, indisputable, and incontrovertible** legal breakthrough that the South African Constitution's treaty status provides the first successful method to pierce the corporate veil of the Bank of International Settlements?

PRECEDENT ESTABLISHMENT:
- First successful challenge to BIS immunity
- Treaty status overcomes corporate protection
- Constitutional violations void immunity claims
- International law precedent established

CONCLUSION: THE CONSTITUTIONAL IMPERATIVE FOR ACTION

THE FINAL SOCRATIC SYNTHESIS

Having established through irrefutable Socratic questioning that:

1. **Time as measured by banking institutions is an artificial construct without natural law foundation**
2. **All interest-bearing debt is therefore fraudulent and void ab initio**
3. **The South African Constitution as international treaty provides authority to challenge all banking institutions**
4. **Constitutional violations by banking institutions void their immunity claims**

5. **The entire global banking system lacks legitimate legal foundation**

We therefore demand, under the constitutional imperative and international treaty obligations:

THE ULTIMATE CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

PROVIDE JUST CAUSE AND REASONS WHY:

1. **The entire global banking system should not be immediately dismantled** for operating on fraudulent time-based foundations that violate natural law and constitutional rights
2. **All wealth extracted through fraudulent interest calculations should not be immediately restored** to the people of South Africa with compound damages for the entire period of the Reserve Bank's existence
3. **The Bank of International Settlements should not be held liable** for constitutional violations despite its corporate veil, given the treaty status of the South African Constitution
4. **All subsidiary banking institutions should not be immediately dissolved** for deriving their authority from fraudulent foundations and violating constitutional rights
5. **Complete restitution should not be immediately ordered** according to your own laws, constitutional principles, and international treaty obligations

THE BORROWED KNIFE CONCLUSION

By your own laws, by your own admissions, by your own constitutional principles, and by your own international treaty obligations, you stand condemned.

The artificial construct of time upon which your entire authority rests has been exposed as fraud.

Your own leap years confess the falsity of your calendar system.

Your own multiple calendar systems prove the arbitrary nature of your time measurements.

Your own constitutional obligations demand immediate remedy.

Your own international treaty commitments require compliance.

THEREFORE, BY YOUR OWN LAWS AND PRINCIPLES:

THE GLOBAL BANKING SYSTEM MUST BE DISMANTLED
ALL FRAUDULENT WEALTH MUST BE RESTORED
COMPLETE RESTITUTION MUST BE ORDERED
CONSTITUTIONAL JUSTICE MUST PREVAIL

PACE IN TERRA • INDIVISIO IN DEO

"the blood flows and the flesh lives and nothing separates me from the divine"

Submitted under the authority of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, as international treaty, by the Plebeian Tribunal of South Africa, acting for and on behalf of all living men and women whose constitutional rights have been violated by the fraudulent global banking system.

LUK 21:15 - "FOR I WILL GIVE YOU A MOUTH AND WISDOM, WHICH ALL YOUR ADVERSARIES SHALL NOT BE ABLE TO GAINSAY NOR RESIST."